

Critical properties of Heider balance on multiplex networks





Group of Physics in Economics and Social Sciences



WARSAW UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY

Introduction

• Relations can be friendly or Hostile



Introduction

• Relations can be **Positive** or **Negative**



Introduction

• Relations can be **Positive** or **Negative**



Introduction

• Relations can be **Positive** or **Negative**



Introduction

- People usually have a consistency in their relationships.
- **Example**: Consistency in behavior at work and outside work.



Introduction

- Sports club and work relationship
- Variations in consistency lead to a cognitive dissonance





Introduction

- Officially friends and privately enemies?
- Variations in consistency lead to a cognitive dissonance

Interactions between different relations linking the same pair of individuals



Introduction

- Officially friends and privately enemies?
- Variations in consistency lead to a cognitive dissonance

Interactions between different relations linking the same pair of individuals

Interactions is for the relations and not between the people



Outline

Physics Lens

Studying different relationships to understand their impact on social balance.

Social science Lens

What happens to the relationship layers

Two layers in different relations.

Missing connection

Heider Balance to multiplex networks

Simulations

Duplex network with contrasting relationship layers.

HB with missing links

How multiplex network effect the Heider balance

Monte Carlo simulation assesses how changes in one layer affect network balance.

A duplex network in different states

Erdös Rényi graph

Heider Balance theory

- Friend of my friend is my friend
- Enemy of my enemy is my friend
- Friend of my enemy is my enemy
- Enemy of my friend is my enemy





Assumptions: - $x_{ij}^{(\alpha)} = \pm 1$

- $x_{ij}^{(n)} = \pm 1$ - Each layer corresponds to a different type of relationship

- Interlayer interactions exist between different relations for the same pair of agents





Assumptions: - $x_{ij}^{(\alpha)} = \pm 1$

- Each layer corresponds to a different type of relationship

- Interlayer interactions exist between different relations for the same pair of agents





Assumptions: - $x_{ij}^{(\alpha)} = \pm 1$

- Each layer corresponds to a different type of relationship

- Interlayer interactions exist between different relations for the same pair of agents





Assumptions: - $x_{ij}^{(\alpha)} = \pm 1$

- Each layer corresponds to a different type of relationship

- Interlayer interactions exist between different relations for the same pair of agents





Assumptions: - $x_{ij}^{(\alpha)} = \pm 1$

- Each layer corresponds to a different type of relationship

- Interlayer interactions exist between different relations for the same pair of agents





Assumptions: - $x_{ij}^{(\alpha)} = \pm 1$

- Each layer corresponds to a different type of relationship

- Interlayer interactions exist between different relations for the same pair of agents





Assumptions: - $x_{ij}^{(\alpha)} = \pm 1$

- Each layer corresponds to a different type of relationship

- Interlayer interactions exist between different relations for the same pair of agents





Assumptions: - $x_{ij}^{(\alpha)} = \pm 1$

- Each layer corresponds to a different type of relationship

- Interlayer interactions exist between different relations for the same pair of agents



How the connection evolve

What happens to the links when the system evolves?



How the connection evolve

What happens to the links when the system evolves?









Analytical Approach:



Microstate

Analytical Approach:

• Replacing individual interactions with a single effective interaction representing the average effect of the system.

MEAN FIELD APPROXIMATION



MF Approximation : Microstate

For a duplex network

Elementary Subsystems: Pair of coupled links $\vec{x}_{ij} = [x_{ij}^{(1)}, x_{ij}^{(2)}]$

Interactions: These pairs experience interlayer interactions proportional to $[\langle x^{(1)}
angle^2, \langle x^{(2)}
angle^2]$





Mean field solution

Self consistency equations:

$$x^{(1)} = f_1(x^{(1)}, x^{(2)})$$
$$x^{(2)} = f_2(x^{(1)}, x^{(2)})$$

. (1)

$$\frac{f_{\alpha}(x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}) =}{\frac{e^{2d} \sinh(a[(x^{(1)})^2 + (x^{(2)})^2]) + \sinh(a(-1)^{\alpha}[(x^{(2)})^2 - (x^{(1)})^2])}{e^{2d} \cosh(a[(x^{(1)})^2 + (x^{(2)})^2]) + \cosh(a[(x^{(1)})^2 - (x^{(2)})^2])}}$$

 $a = \frac{AM}{T}$ $d = \frac{K}{AM} \Rightarrow$ Re-scaled intralayer and interlayer interaction strength

 (\mathbf{n})

Observations:

Temperature and Polarization: Increasing temperature T leads to a continuous decrease up to x_c .

(1)

- **Critical Point:** At Tc, the mean polarization abruptly jumps to zero, indicating a first-order transition.
- **Unidirectional Transition:** This first-order transition always goes from a polarized to an unpolarized state. Reversed transition does not occur:
- **Dependence on Coupling Strength K:** When increasing the coupling strength (K), the critical temperature (Tc) also increases,



Finding critical temperature

Dynamical equations:

 $x^{(1)}(t+1) = f_1(x^{(1)}(t), x^{(2)}(t))$ $x^{(2)}(t+1) = f_2(x^{(1)}(t), x^{(2)}(t))$



MF Approximation

Microstate

- Set of links between *i* and *j*.
- L number of self consistency equation
- Lower order (duplex, triplex network)

MF Approximation

Microstate

- Set of links between *i* and *j*.
- L number of self consistency equation
- Lower order (duplex, triplex network)

MF Approximation

Microstate

- Set of links between *i* and *j*.
- L number of self consistency equation
- Lower order (duplex, triplex network)

- Number of positive links *L*⁺ among all *L* links in the set.
- One MF equation.
- Higher order multiplex network

MF Approximation

Microstate

- Set of links between *i* and *j*.
- L number of self consistency equation
- Lower order (duplex, triplex network)

- Number of positive links *L*⁺ among all *L* links in the set.
- One MF equation.
- Higher order multiplex network



MF Approximation

Microstate

- Set of links between *i* and *j*.
- L number of self consistency equation
- Lower order (duplex, triplex network)

 $x_{ij}^{(1)}$

 $x_{ij}^{(2)}$

Mesostate

- Number of positive links *L*⁺ among all *L* links in the set.
- One MF equation.

Faculty of Physics | FENS

• Higher order multiplex network

MF Approximation : mesostate

Mean link polarization

$$x\rangle = \left\langle \frac{L^+ - L^-}{L} \right\rangle = \frac{2\langle L^+ \rangle}{L} - 1$$

$$\mathcal{M} = \begin{pmatrix} L \\ L^+ \end{pmatrix}$$

Self-consistent equation

$$\langle L^+ \rangle = g(\langle L^+ \rangle) = \frac{\sum_{L^+=0}^{L} L^+ {\binom{L}{L^+}} e^{-E(L^+)/T}}{\sum_{L^+=0}^{L} {\binom{L}{L^+}} e^{-E(L^+)/T}}$$

 $\langle L_+ \rangle = L$ is a paradise state, and $\langle L_+ \rangle = L/2$ is a disordered state



Saturation of critical temperature

For large coupling strength K-

$$T_c\big|_{\alpha=L,K\to+\infty} \approx L \cdot T_c\big|_{\alpha=1}$$





Impact of number of Layers on the Critical temperature

The critical temperature Tc increases with the number of layers L when coupling K is positive

The mean-field approach, while qualitatively correct, is not capable of accurately predicting the behavior of a system with numerous weakly interacting layers.



Critical behavior of the system with layers in different states



Critical behavior of the system with layers in different states

- Different layers may exist in different states
- Possibilities include one layer ordered and the other disordered, or variations in order within both layers.





Critical behavior of the system with layers in different states

Temperature *T*_s: Critical temperature where different states of layers synchronize.

 $T_m\colon$ The limit of retaining order after \swarrow synchronization

T_o: The limit of spontaneous order

 T_c : Critical temperature for the existence of ordered states



Not everyone knows everyone!

Missing links $\rightarrow x_{ij} = 0$ (not a true link state)



Not everyone knows everyone!

Missing links $\rightarrow x_{ij} = 0$ (not a true link state)



Critical temperature



$$T_c = A p^2 M\left(\frac{1}{\ln z}\right) \left(\frac{D^2(z^4 - 1)}{D^2(z^4 + 1) + 2z^2}\right)^2$$

But T_c not increases like p^2 !

Important results

Social science Lens

Physics Lens

Transition from a state of stability to unrest

Tendency of relationships in one layer to align with those in the other.

Influence of balanced or imbalanced relationships becomes increasingly pronounced.

<u>Complete graph</u> Transition

Duplex network with contrasting relationship layers

Random graph

Interaction

Temperature rise causes a multiplex network's shift from paradise to disorder, surpassing single-layer critical temperatures.

Synchronization of interlayer relations

Heider interaction scales like p^2 Interlayer interaction scales like p.

Main Message

Building several layers of social interactions make the social structures more stable





ALPHORN PROJECT

[1] Mohandas, K., Suchecki, K. and Hołyst, J.A., 2024. Physical Review E, 109(4), p.044306.
[2] P. J. Górski, K. Kułakowski, P. Gawro nski, and J. A. Hołyst, Sci. Rep. 7, 16047 (2017).

Faculty of Physics | FENS

